Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc. Well Name/Number:Butka 3-5H Location: S/2 S/2 Section 5 T23N R54E County: Richland _, MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C (Bakken Horizontal)
Air Quality (possible concerns) Long drilling time: No, 30 to 40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 19,766'MD/9,748'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations. In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive) Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.
Mitigation: _X Air quality permit (AQB review)
 Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas Special equipment/procedures requirements Other:
Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 19,766'MD/9,748'TVD. If there is an existing pipeline
for gas in the area and gas can be gathered or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.
Water Quality
(possible concerns) Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing hole will
use freshwater and freshwater mud system. High water table: _None anticipated
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, nearest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary to Carda Coulee, about 1/8 of a mile west from this location.
Water well contamination: None anticipated, closest water wells are about 5/8 of a mile to the southeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the northwest, about 3/4 of a mile to the west and
about 1 mile to the northwest. Depth of these water wells range from 100' to 120'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system. Require a
minimum of 1509' of surface casing be set, instead of the 1423' as stated in the permit, to insure that the base of the Fox Hills Formation will be covered by the surface casing. Surface casing will be set at 109' and cemented to surface.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty-sandy clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation: Lined reserve pit
 X Adequate surface casing X Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X Closed mud system

X Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility) X_ Other: Lined cuttings pit will be used since this is a closed loop mud system to be employed. Comments: Require 1509' of surface casing be set to cover the base of the Fox Hills and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones. Reroute surface drainage around this location. Soils/Vegetation/Land Use (possible concerns) Steam crossings: Crossing only ephemeral drainage. High erosion potential: No, moderate cut, up to 17.5' and moderate fill, up to 12.8', required. Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored. Unusually large wellsite: Very large wellisite, 500'X270' required. Damage to improvements: Slight Conflict with existing land use/values: __Slight, surface use appears to be grassland and cultivated land. Mitigation __ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance) Exception location requested _X Stockpile topsoil Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review) _X_Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive __ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation Other Access will be over existing county road, #319 and existing lease Comments: road. About 242' of new access road will be built into this location off the existing lease road. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a commercial SWD disposal. Cuttings pit will be allowed to dry and then closed by filling and mixing with clay subsoils. No special concerns. **Health Hazards/Noise** (possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is about 1 mile to north of the location. Possibility of H2S: Slight Size of rig/length of drilling time Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 40 days drilling time Mitigation: _X_Proper BOP equipment __ Topographic sound barriers H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan Special equipment/procedures requirements Other: Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with a working BOP stack should mitigate any problems.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites:None identified
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No new access to wildlife habitat.
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No game range/refuges nearby.
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered
are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Lease Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.
Candidate species are the Sprague's Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker
website indicates zero (0) species of concern in this area. NH Tracker website indicates
three (3) Potential Species of Concern: Hayden's Shrew, Eastern Screech-Owl and
Tennessee Warbler.
Torricosoco vvarbior.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
X Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe species of concern
that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface
owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this
location. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals.
location. Honzontal lateral possible will cut rederal militerals.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(noccible concerns)
(possible concerns) Provimity to known sites. None identified
Proximity to known sites None identified
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other:
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals.
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic (possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Horizontal lateral possible will cut federal minerals. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

No, special concerns for drilling this single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 19,766'MD/9,748'TVD.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki(title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: _May 14, 2012
Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County (subject discussed) May 14, 2012 (date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed) May 14, 2012 (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T23N R54E (subject discussed)
May 14, 2012(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: